

Exhibit B

**NOTICE OF INTENT TO POST A RULE OF THE STATE ELECTIONS BOARD,
CHAPTER 183-1-15, RULES OF STATE ELECTION BOARD, RULE 183-1-15-
.02(2) DEFINITION OF VOTE – OPTICAL SCAN VOTING SYSTEMS
AND NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING.**

TO ALL INTERESTED PERSONS AND PARTIES:

Notice is hereby given that pursuant to the authority set forth below, the Georgia State Election Board, (hereinafter “SEB”) proposes to post an SEB rule, Rule 183-1-15-.02(2) *Definition of Vote - Optical Scan Voting Systems* (hereinafter “proposed rule”).

This notice, together with an exact copy of the proposed new rule and a synopsis of the proposed rule, is being distributed to all persons who have requested, in writing, that they be placed on a distribution list. A copy of this notice, an exact copy of the proposed rule amendment, and a synopsis of the proposed rule amendment may be reviewed during normal business hours of 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. Monday through Friday, except official state holidays, at the Office of the Secretary of State, Elections Division, 2 Martin Luther King Jr. Drive, S.E., 8th Floor West Tower, Atlanta, Georgia 30334. These documents will also be available for review on the State Election Board’s web page at https://sos.ga.gov/index.php/elections/state_election_board. Copies may also be requested by contacting the Elections Division at (404) 656-2871.

To provide the public an opportunity to comment upon and provide input into the proposed rule amendment, a public hearing will be held on:

April 15, 2020
8:30 a.m.
State Capitol Building
206 Washington Street, SW
Room 341
Atlanta, Georgia 30334

At the public hearing anyone may present data, make a statement, comment or offer a viewpoint or argument whether orally or in writing. Oral statements should be concise and will be limited to 2 minutes per person. Additional comments should be presented in writing. Lengthy statements or statements of a considerable technical or economic nature, as well as previously recorded messages, must be submitted for the official record on or before Monday, April 6, 2020 to the address below for written comments. Written comments must be received on or before Monday, April 6, 2020 and be addressed to Jasmine Shannon by mail to Office of the Secretary of State, Elections Division, 2 Martin Luther King Jr. Drive, S.E., 8th Floor West Tower, Atlanta, Georgia 30334 or by email to jshannon@sos.ga.gov.

The State Election Board will consider the proposed rule at a meeting scheduled to begin at 8:30 a.m. on Wednesday, April 15, 2020 at the State Capitol Building, 206 Washington Street SW, Room 341, Atlanta, Georgia 30334.

This notice is given in compliance with O.C.G.A. § 50-13-4.

This 5th day of March, 2020.

A handwritten signature in black ink, reading "Brad Raffensperger", written over a horizontal line.

Brad Raffensperger
Chairman, State Elections Board

Posted: March 5, 2020

**SYNOPSIS OF THE PROPOSED RULE OF THE
STATE ELECTIONS BOARD, CHAPTER 183-1-15, *RULES OF STATE
ELECTION BOARD*, RULE 183-1-15-.02(2) *DEFINITION OF VOTE – OPTICAL
SCAN VOTING SYSTEMS***

Purpose: The purpose of the revisions to this rule is to amend the definition of what constitutes a vote on the new electronic ballot marker paper ballot system.

Main Features: The main feature of the revisions to this rule is to amend the definition of what constitutes a vote on the new electronic ballot marker paper ballot system if there is a discrepancy between the optical scan ballot marked by hand and the tabulated result by the ballot scanner.

**DIFFERENCES BETWEEN THE EXISTING RULE AND THE PROPOSED
AMENDMENTS OF THE STATE ELECTION BOARD, CHAPTER 183-1-15,
RULES OF STATE ELECTION BOARD, RULE 183-1-15-.02(2) *DEFINITION OF
VOTE – OPTICAL SCAN VOTING SYSTEMS***

NOTE: Struck through text is proposed to be deleted. Underlined text is proposed to be added.

**THE PROPOSED RULE OF THE STATE ELECTION BOARD, CHAPTER 183-1-15,
RULES OF STATE ELECTION BOARD, RULE 183-1-15-.02(2) *DEFINITION OF
VOTE – OPTICAL SCAN VOTING SYSTEMS***

RULE 183-1-15-.02 Definition of Vote

(2) Optical Scan Voting Systems.

- (a) A vote cast on an optical scan ballot marked by hand shall be the choice made by a voter by either:
 - 1. Filling in the oval adjacent to the name of the candidate or answer to a question for which the voter desires to vote; or
 - 2. Filling in the oval adjacent to the appropriate write-in space and writing the name of a qualified write-in candidate in the appropriate space on the ballot as specified in the instructions for voting such ballot.
- (b) In reviewing an optical scan ballot marked by hand which has been rejected as containing an overvote in accordance with O.C.G.A. § 21-2-483(g)(2), if the voter filled-in the oval next to the name of a candidate whose name appears on the ballot and filled-in the oval adjacent to the write-in space and wrote the name of the same candidate in the write-in

space for the same office, the properly cast vote shall be counted and the write-in vote shall be ignored.

- (c) If, in reviewing an optical scan ballot marked by hand which has been rejected as containing an overvote in accordance with O.C.G.A. § 21-2-483(g)(2), it appears that there is a properly cast vote and what is clearly a stray mark which has caused the ballot scanner to read the vote for such office as an overvote, the properly cast vote shall be counted and the stray mark shall be ignored.
- (d) If, in reviewing an optical scan ballot marked by hand which has been rejected as containing an overvote in accordance with O.C.G.A. § 21-2-483(g)(2), a voter marks his or her ballot in a manner other than that specified by law and this rule, the votes shall be counted if, in the opinion of the vote review panel as provided in O.C.G.A. § 21-2-483(g)(2)(B), the voter has clearly and without question indicated the candidate or candidates and answers to questions for which such voter desires to vote.
- (e) If, in reviewing an optical scan ballot marked by hand, a discrepancy is found between the voter's mark on the ballot that clearly and without question indicated the voter's intent and the result tabulated by the ballot scanner, the voter's mark shall control and be counted. Nothing herein shall be deemed to disallow the use of ballot scanners for tabulation of ballots.
- (fe) When an optical scan ballot marked by hand contains stray marks or marks which prevent the ballot scanner from properly recording valid votes as determined under this rule and by law, the ballot shall be duplicated in accordance with law to correct such problems and the duplicate shall then be tabulated.
- (gfe) In lieu of manually duplicating a ballot pursuant to paragraph (e), the manual review of ballots with overvotes by vote review panels pursuant to O.C.G.A. § 21-2-483(g) may be done by reviewing a digital image of the ballot and electronically adjudicating the intent of the voter, if such determination is recorded on the digital image of the ballot.
- (h) A vote cast on an optical scan ballot marked by an electronic ballot marker shall be the choices indicated by the printed paper ballot.
- (ig) When an optical scan ballot marked by an electronic ballot marker contains marks added, in addition to what was printed by the electronic ballot marker, the additional marks shall be ignored.
- (j) If, in reviewing an optical scan ballot marked by an electronic ballot marker in accordance with O.C.G.A. §§ 21-2-495 or 21-2-498, a

discrepancy is found between the voter's choice indicated by the printed text on the ballot and the result tabulated by the ballot scanner, the printed text shall control and be counted. Nothing herein shall be deemed to disallow the use of ballot scanners for tabulation of ballots.

Authority: O.C.G.A. 21-2-2, 21-2-31, 21-2-133, 21-2-439, 21-2-483

**COPY OF THE PROPOSED NEW RULE
DEFINITION OF VOTE – OPTICAL SCAN VOTING SYSTEMS**

RULE 183-1-15-.02 Definition of Vote

(2) Optical Scan Voting Systems.

- (a) A vote cast on an optical scan ballot marked by hand shall be the choice made by a voter by either:
 - 1. Filling in the oval adjacent to the name of the candidate or answer to a question for which the voter desires to vote; or
 - 2. Filling in the oval adjacent to the appropriate write-in space and writing the name of a qualified write-in candidate in the appropriate space on the ballot as specified in the instructions for voting such ballot.
- (b) In reviewing an optical scan ballot marked by hand which has been rejected as containing an overvote in accordance with O.C.G.A. § 21-2-483(g)(2), if the voter filled-in the oval next to the name of a candidate whose name appears on the ballot and filled-in the oval adjacent to the write-in space and wrote the name of the same candidate in the write-in space for the same office, the properly cast vote shall be counted and the write-in vote shall be ignored.
- (c) If, in reviewing an optical scan ballot marked by hand which has been rejected as containing an overvote in accordance with O.C.G.A. § 21-2-483(g)(2), it appears that there is a properly cast vote and what is clearly a stray mark which has caused the ballot scanner to read the vote for such office as an overvote, the properly cast vote shall be counted and the stray mark shall be ignored.
- (d) If, in reviewing an optical scan ballot marked by hand which has been rejected as containing an overvote in accordance with O.C.G.A. § 21-2-483(g)(2), a voter marks his or her ballot in a manner other than that

specified by law and this rule, the votes shall be counted if, in the opinion of the vote review panel as provided in O.C.G.A. § 21-2-483(g)(2)(B), the voter has clearly and without question indicated the candidate or candidates and answers to questions for which such voter desires to vote.

- (e) If, in reviewing an optical scan ballot marked by hand, a discrepancy is found between the voter's mark on the ballot that clearly and without question indicated the voter's intent and the result tabulated by the ballot scanner, the voter's mark shall control and be counted. Nothing herein shall be deemed to disallow the use of ballot scanners for tabulation of ballots.
- (f) When an optical scan ballot marked by hand contains stray marks or marks which prevent the ballot scanner from properly recording valid votes as determined under this rule and by law, the ballot shall be duplicated in accordance with law to correct such problems and the duplicate shall then be tabulated.
- (g) In lieu of manually duplicating a ballot pursuant to paragraph (e), the manual review of ballots with overvotes by vote review panels pursuant to O.C.G.A. § 21-2-483(g) may be done by reviewing a digital image of the ballot and electronically adjudicating the intent of the voter, if such determination is recorded on the digital image of the ballot.
- (h) A vote cast on an optical scan ballot marked by an electronic ballot marker shall be the choices indicated by the printed paper ballot.
- (i) When an optical scan ballot marked by an electronic ballot marker contains marks added, in addition to what was printed by the electronic ballot marker, the additional marks shall be ignored.
- (j) If, in reviewing an optical scan ballot marked by an electronic ballot marker in accordance with O.C.G.A. §§ 21-2-495 or 21-2-498, a discrepancy is found between the voter's choice indicated by the printed text on the ballot and the result tabulated by the ballot scanner, the printed text shall control and be counted. Nothing herein shall be deemed to disallow the use of ballot scanners for tabulation of ballots.

Authority: O.C.G.A. 21-2-2, 21-2-31, 21-2-133, 21-2-439, 21-2-483